Urban Economics and Simulations

Part of P. v. Mouche

Exercises A; 2024-2025

Exercise 1 *Consider the production function $f(k) = k^\alpha$ where $\alpha > 0$. So there is only one production factor k and thus the the input also is k. The production factor price is w (supposed to be positive).*

a. *Show the following:*

$\alpha > 1 \iff$ *there is increasing returns to scale;*

$\alpha = 1 \iff$ *there is constant returns to scale;*

$\alpha < 1 \iff$ *there is decreasing returns to scale.*

b. *Determine the conditional production factor demand function $k^\star(q)$, i.e. the value of the cost minimising production factor k as a function of output q.*

c. *Let $C(q)$ be the cost function, i.e. the minimal costs in order to produce an output q. Show that $C(q) = wq^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$.*

d. *Determine the average cost function $\mathrm{AC}(q) = C(q)/q$.*

e. *Verify (the in Slides A mentioned result):*

$$increasing\ returns\ to\ scale \implies \mathrm{AC}\ is\ decreasing;$$

$$constant\ returns\ to\ scale \implies \mathrm{AC}\ is\ constant;$$

$$decreasing\ returns\ to\ scale \implies \mathrm{AC}\ is\ increasing.$$

Exercise 2 *An important production function (and also utility function) is the Cobb-Douglas function*

$$f(k_1, k_2) = k_1^{\alpha_1} k_2^{\alpha_2};$$

here α_1 and α_2 are positive. In this exercise we explore its returning to scale properties and in doing so generalize Exercise 1a. (So here the input is (k_1, k_2).)

a. *Calculate $f(\lambda_1 k_1, \lambda k_2)$.*

b. Show that

$$\text{increasing returns to scale} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 1;$$
$$\text{constant returns to scale} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1;$$
$$\text{decreasing returns to scale} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < 1.$$

Exercise 3 *Consider the problem of minimizing total transport costs for transporting over a given distance an input from a mine to a factory and for transporting the output to a market. We assume a 1-dimensional situation. The distance between market and mine is 125 km. The optimal location is the location of the factory where the sum of the transport costs of a given input and the to this input belonging output is minimal.*

Suppose the transport cost function that for the output (per ton) is $O(k) = \sqrt{k}$ euro and that for the input is $I(k) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{k}$ euro; here k is the distance in km.

a. Why these cost functions may be not so realistic?

b. Is this a weight gaining industry or weight losing industry?

c. Determine the total transport costs $T(k_0)$ if the factory is located at distance k_0 from the market.

d. Determine with a calculation the optimal distance k_0 and also sketch the graph of T.

e. Why, in fact, is it not necessary to determine the optimal k_0 by a calculation?

Exercise 4 *Make Exercise 1.2 from the Text Book.*

Exercise 5 *Consider the urban model from Chapter 2 in the text book for the residents utility function of Cobb-Douglas type $u(q,c) = qc$.*

a. Determine the formulas for the optimal floor space $q^\star(x)$ and bread consumption $c^\star(x)$ in terms of the income y, distance to the center x, rental price $p(x)$ and commuting costs t.

b. We know (by the equilibrium principle) that in the equilibrium the utility is independent of x; say this utility is w. Show that for the equilibrium rental price $p^\star(x)$ (i.e. the housing price curve) the equality $(y - tx)^2 = 4wp^\star(x)$ holds.

c. Show that $\frac{dp^\star}{dx}(x) = -\frac{t}{q^\star(x)}$.

d. Show that $p^\star(x) = \frac{p^\star(0)}{y^2}(y - tx)^2$.

e. Can we say something about the exact value of $p^\star(0)$ in part d?

f. Show that total equilibrium rent $p^\star(x) \cdot q^\star(x)$ is a decreasing function of x. Is this realistic?

g. *(Optional!) Now suppose the utility function is the Cobb-Douglas utility function $u(q, c) = q^{\alpha_1} c^{\alpha_2}$ with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ and show that the formula in c continues to hold. (In fact this formula holds for a large class of "nice" utility functions.) Is the total equilibrium rent still a decreasing function of x?*

Exercise 6 *Consider the model for the Urban Spatial Structure (Chapter 2, 3 (and 4) in Textbook). x denotes the radial distance to the Central Business District. We suppose one income group. Are the following statements false or true?*

a. *Rental price p is a decreasing function of x.*

b. *Floor space q is an increasing function of x.*

c. *Amount of bread c is a decreasing function of x.*

d. *Price of land r is a decreasing function of x.*

e. *Building height h is a decreasing function of x.*

f. *Population density D is a decreasing function of x.*

Exercise 7 *Make Exercise 5.1 from the Text Book.*

Short solutions.

Solution 1 a. $f(\lambda k) = (\lambda k)^\alpha = \lambda^\alpha f(k) \begin{cases} > \lambda f(k) \text{ if } \alpha > 1, \\ = \lambda f(k) \text{ if } \alpha = 1, \\ < \lambda f(k) \text{ if } \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$

   b. If the producer wants to produce an amount q with minimal costs, then the input k has to satisfy $k^\alpha = q$. Therefore $k^\star(q) = q^{1/\alpha}$.

   c. $C(q) = wk^\star(q)$. Thus, by part b, $C(q) = wq^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$.

   d. For the average costs $AC(q)$ we obtain

$$\mathrm{AC}(q) = \frac{\mathrm{C}(q)}{q} = wq^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} = wq^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}.$$

   e. By the formula in d. For example, for $\alpha = 1/2$ we have decreasing returns to scale and $\mathrm{AC}(q) = wq$. So $AC(q)$ is increasing.

Solution 2 1. $f(\lambda_1 k_1, \lambda k_2) = (\lambda k_1)^{\alpha_1}(\lambda k_2)^{\alpha_2} = \lambda^{\alpha_1} k_1^{\alpha_1} \lambda^{\alpha_2} k_2^{\alpha_2} = \lambda^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} k_1^{\alpha_1} k_2^{\alpha_2} = \lambda^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(k_1, k_2)$
   2. By part 1 (as $\lambda > 0$).

Solution 3 a. As $I(0) = O(0) = 0$, there are no terminal costs.

   b. Weight gaining industry as $O(k) > I(k)$ (for positive k).

   c. $T(k_0) = O(k_0) + I(125 - k_0) = \sqrt{k_0} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{125 - k_0}$.

   d. Derivative $T'(k_0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k_0}} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2\sqrt{125-k_0}} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_0}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{125-k_0}})$.

   We see: derivative zero if $\sqrt{k_0} = 2\sqrt{125 - k_0}$. I.e. $k_0 = 4(125 - k_0)$. We find $k_0 = 100$.

   Also derivative is positive if $k_0 < 100$ and negative if $k_0 > 100$. This implies $k_0 = 0$ or $k_0 = 125$ is a minimiser. As $T(125) = \sqrt{125} > \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{125} = T(0)$, 0 is the minimiser.

   d. Optimal to locate at the market.

   e. Not necessary as theory also predicts this result: we have to do with a weight gaining industry and there are economics of distance (as average transportation costs for input and for outputs are decreasing).

Solution 4 a. One factory in city D gives minimises the costs.

   b. One factory in each of the four cities minimises the costs.

   c. Scale economies are weaker in part b compared to part a.

   d. $t = 4$.

Solution 5 a. Remember the general formula for the Marshallian demand functions of the Cobb-Douglas utility function

$$u(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} :$$
$$x_1^\star = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}\frac{m}{p_1}, \quad x_2^\star = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}\frac{m}{p_2}.$$

For our case this leads to

$$q^\star(x) = \frac{y - tx}{2p(x)}, \quad c^\star(x) = \frac{y - tx}{2}.$$

   b. We have $u(q^\star(x), c^\star(x)) = w$. So $w = \frac{y-tx}{2p^\star(x)} \cdot \frac{y-tx}{2} = \frac{(y-tx)^2}{4p^\star(x)}$. Thus $(y - tx)^2 = 4wp^\star(x)$.

   c. By differentiating the identity in part b with respect to x we find $-2t(y - tx) = 4w\frac{dp^\star}{dx}$. From this and parts a and b we obtain $\frac{dp^\star}{dx} = \frac{-2t(y-tx)}{4w} = \frac{-2t(y-tx)}{4\frac{(y-tx)^2}{4p^\star(x)}} = \frac{-2tp^\star(x)}{y-tx} = \frac{-t}{q^\star(x)}$.

   d. Since utility is independent of x we have $w = q^\star(0)c^\star(0) = \frac{y^2}{4p^\star(0)}$. So, by part b, $p^\star(x) = \frac{(y-tx)^2}{4w} = \frac{(y-tx)^2}{4\frac{y^2}{4p^\star(0)}} = \frac{p^\star(0)}{y^2}(y - tx)^2$.

Other method: by part c we have $\frac{dp^\star}{dx} = -\frac{2t}{p^\star(x)(y-tx)}$. So $\frac{\frac{dp^\star}{dx}}{p^\star(x)} = -\frac{2t}{y-tx}$. Solving this differential equation gives $p^\star(x) = (y-tx)^2 \frac{p^\star(0)}{y^2}$.

e. No.

f. By part a, we obtain $p^\star(x)q^\star(x) = \frac{y-tx}{2}$. It is difficult to say whether this is realistic. Remember that we now from theory that $p^\star$ is a decreasing function of x and $q^\star$ is an increasing function of x. (Also see discussion in text book.)

g. Repeat the above, but start with

$$q(x) = \alpha_1 \frac{y-tx}{p(x)}, \quad c(x) = \alpha_2(y-tx).$$

(Also remember: from theory we know that the formula in c even holds for each 'standard' utility function.)

Solution 6 All are true.

Solution 7 a. Equilibrium T_{eq} is given by solving $D(T) = AC(T)$. So $T = 2$. This implies that Mr. 1 and Mr. 2 on freeway; Mr. 3 on alternate route.

b. First: $7 + 5 = 3 = 15$. Second: $2 + 5 + 3 = 10$. Third: $10 + 3 = 13$. Fourth: $9 + 9 + 9 = 27$.

c. Optimal: Mr. 1 on freeway; Mr. 2 and Mr. 3 on alternate route.